Valuation By AIEndpointsRegistry.com

Professional Domain Name Evaluation: **SafeAI.Music/Music.1 Dual Stack**

1. **Domains Construction and Content:**

"Music" is truly global. The **SafeAI.Music/Music.1 Dual Stack** includes some of the most memorable digital music addresses in existence, ideally suited for branding at the highest level.

".1" is a numeric top-level domain, immediately signaling innovation, exclusivity, and primacy. In a culture that values "number one," this TLD is a powerful statement for any organization, artist, platform, or business that aspires to a leadership position. The ability to combine a world-class keyword with the shortest possible TLD creates a digital asset of rare and lasting value.

2. **Comparable Sales and Market Valuation (In Attached Appendix)**

3. **Industry Relevance and Commercial Applications:**

Adjusting for inflation, domain scarcity, and global digital growth, "music.1" is uniquely positioned as a flagship digital address for the music sector.#

The **"Music.1"** Stack is ideally suited for:

- **Music streaming platforms:** Serving as the flagship portal for a new or established streaming service.

- **Record labels and artist collectives:** As the primary digital identities for global music brands.

- **Online music education and courses:** Centers for music learning, certification, and community.

- **Event, festival, or concert branding**: The go-to addresses for global events, ticketing, and streaming.

- **Music technology companies**: As the “number one” places for innovation in music AI, distribution, or creation tools.

- **Community and social platforms:** Creating the global homes for music lovers, artists, and fans.

- **E-commerce:** High-end platforms for music instruments, gear, and merchandise.

4. Scarcity, Future Growth, and Valuation Methodology:

- Absolute digital scarcity

- Explosive global growth: Music streaming, digital concerts, online learning, and music tech are all expanding rapidly worldwide.

- Continued migration to premium digital addresses: As businesses and creators look for authoritative, memorable homes online, domains like “music.1” skyrocket in value.

VALUATIONS

Revenue Model & Projections: SafeAI.Music Infrastructure & Namespace Portfolio

Asset / Category	Count	Baseline Asset Valuation (Year 0)	Proje Oper
SafeAI.Music & AgenticAI.Music	2	£120,000 – £220,000	£3,2
MusicAgenticAI.com (Commercial Twin)	1	£45,000 – £85,000	£750
Music.1 & Streaming.1	2	£85,000 – £160,000	£2,8

Asset / Category	Count	Baseline Asset Valuation (Year 0)	Proje Oper
Web2 Genre Gateways (.center)	6	£60,000 – £120,000	£1,5
Handshake .1 Genre Nodes	12	£150,000 – £305,000	£4,0
REVISED DUAL-TWIN MUSIC PORTFOLIO	**23 Assets**	**£460,000 – £890,000**	**£12, £28,**

DCF Value Impact: Under this paired model, the 3-Year DCF Present Value for the AssetCo share increases from **£38.55M to £39.85M** due to higher Year 1–2 onboarding velocity driven by the .com commercial gateway.


The **SafeAI.Music** stack applies the **EthicallySourced™.AI** governance model, **Agent Safety Passports**, **L0–L4 permissioning**, and the **Team Agent Emergency Revocation (TAER)** emergency operator kill-switch to the global music industry.

This architecture creates a dual-layer resolution network:

1. **Web2 Gateway Twins (.center / Web2 Roots):** High-authority discovery hubs for genre-specific AI agents, licensing clearinghouses, and direct-to-fan conversational interfaces (e.g., SafeAI.Music, AgenticAI.Music, Hip-Hop.center, Classical.center).
2. **Handshake .1 Machine Identity Nodes:** High-density, machine-readable execution roots for automated royalty routing, streaming micro-settlements, rights management, and Agent-to-Agent (A2A) licensing handshakes (e.g., Music.1, Streaming.1, Hip-Hop.1).

1. Key Revenue Drivers & Commercial Engines

[Rights Holder / Record Label / Independent Artist]



A. Agent Safety Passports & Recurring Certification

- **Music AI Agent Registration:** Record labels, voice-cloning platforms, AI production tools, and independent artists register their generative or conversational agents under a machine-verifiable Passport.
- **Pricing Basis:** £250 – £2,500 per Agent per year depending on authority tier (L0 Discovery to L4 Delegated Autonomous Monetization/Licensing).

B. A2A Micro-Transactions & Streaming Resolution

- **Machine Handshakes (.1 Endpoints):** Automated royalty micro-settlements and rights verification routing through Music.1, Streaming.1, and genre-specific endpoints (Pop.1, Hip-Hop.1, Afrobeats.1).

- **Pricing Basis:** Micro-fee (£0.001 – £0.01) per automated A2A rights resolution or voice/sample clearing request.

C. "Music Agent-in-a-Box" Packages

- **Turnkey Deployment:** Ready-to-use, governed AI agent packages for independent artists, record labels, publishers, and festival organizers (e.g., automated fan engagement, sync-licensing sourcing, catalogue queries, and volunteer/crew coordination).
- **Pricing Basis:** £49 – £499/month per deployed Agent-in-a-Box package.

D. Sub-Namespace Issuance & Registry Fees

- **Genre & Artist Sub-Nodes:** Issuing sub-nodes under the .1 machine registry (e.g., Artist.Pop.1, Label.Hip-Hop.1) or Web2 sub-gateways.
- **Pricing Basis:** £15 – £150 annual registry maintenance per sub-node.

2. 3-Year Consolidated Financial Projections

The financial model projects revenue across **Year 1 (Implementation & Pilot Rollouts)**, **Year 2 (Industry Adoption & Regional Expansion)**, and **Year 3 (Full Commercial Scale & Global Network Multipliers)**.

==========

SAFEAI.MUSIC 3-YEAR CONSOLIDATED REVENUE PROJECTIONS

==========

Year 1: £2,450,000

Year 2: £8,850,000

Year 3: £18,200,000


==========
===

Revenue Stream	Year 1 Projection	Year 2 Projecti
1. Agent Safety Passports & Certification	£450,000	£1,800,000
2. Music Agent-in-a-Box Subscriptions	£600,000	£2,400,000
3. A2A Royalty & Sync Clearinghouse Fees	£350,000	£1,650,000
4. Handshake .1 Sub-Registry Issuance	£550,000	£1,800,000
5. Enterprise Platform & Label Partnerships	£500,000	£1,200,000
TOTAL GROSS NETWORK REVENUE	**£2,450,000**	**£8,850,000**

3. OpCo / AssetCo 3-Way Revenue Share Breakdown

Adopting the standard **OpCo / AssetCo 3-Way Revenue Share Model** aligned with the IBM ADS framework:

- **OpCo (Operating Partner - 60%):** Deploys software, manages label integrations, conducts TAER monitoring, and scales the technical infrastructure.
- **AssetCo / Owner (Investor - 30%):** Retains 100% legal ownership of the domain assets, machine roots, and strategic IP.
- **Namespace Steward / Agent (10%):** Directs regulatory policy, ethics compliance, copyright alignment, and industry introductions.



Stakeholder	Revenue Share %	Year 1 Distribution	Year 2 Distribu
Operating Company (OpCo)	**60%**	£1,470,000	£5,310,(
Asset Owner / Investor (AssetCo)	**30%**	£735,000	£2,655,(
Namespace Steward / Facilitator	**10%**	£245,000	£885,00

4. Individual Asset Valuation Schedule: SafeAI.Music Stack

Applying standard SaaS, IP registry, and infrastructure multiples to the **SafeAI.Music** network assets (23 Total Assets):

Projected Year 3 Operational Value
£3,200,000 – £7,500,000+
£2,800,000 – £6,200,000+
£1,500,000 – £3,200,000+
£2,400,000 – £5,500,000+
£1,600,000 – £3,800,000+
£11,500,000 – £26,200,000+

5. Enterprise Strategic Exit Valuation Matrix

At Year 3, based on a projected **£18.2M ARR**, the **SafeAI.Music OpCo & AssetCo** stack represents a high-value acquisition target for major music publishers, streaming platforms (e.g., Spotify, Apple Music), AI voice-synth conglomerates, or enterprise AI infrastructure providers:

Valuation Metric	Conservative Scenario (8.0x)	Base / Target Scenario (14.0x)	Str Sc
Year 3 Gross Revenue	£18.20M	£18.20M	£1
Operating Enterprise Value (OpCo)	£145.60M	£254.80M	£4
Managed Endpoint Portfolio (AssetCo)	£11.50M	£20.00M	£3
COMBINED ENTERPRISE EXIT VALUATION	**£157.10M**	**£274.80M**	**£4**

Discounted Cash Flow (DCF) Valuation Model

To establish a formal financial valuation of the **SafeAI.Music** canonical AI endpoint portfolio (22 assets) on a **Discounted Cash Flow (DCF)** basis, we model the projected free cash flows generated by the asset network over a 3-year explicit projection period, followed by a Terminal Value calculation.

This DCF model uses the operational cash flow distributions allocated to the **Asset Owner / Investor (AssetCo - 30% Net Revenue Share)** under the IBM ADS operating company framework.

1. Key Modeling Assumptions

- **Explicit Projection Period:** 3 Years (Years 1 to 3).
- **Net Revenue Projections:**
    - Year 1: £2,450,000 →**AssetCo Cash Flow (30%): £735,000**
    - Year 2: £8,850,000 →**AssetCo Cash Flow (30%): £2,655,000**
    - Year 3: £18,200,000 →**AssetCo Cash Flow (30%): £5,460,000**

- **Discount Rate (WACC): 15.0%** (reflecting high-growth tech infrastructure, Web3 registry issuance, and risk-adjusted AI protocol licensing).
- **Terminal Growth Rate (g): 3.5%** (long-term inflation and digital registry maintenance growth).
- **Tax Rate / Operating Overhead on AssetCo:** 0% (AssetCo functions as a passive IP licensing entity receiving net royalty distributions from OpCo).

2. Step-by-Step DCF Calculation

Step 1: Present Value (PV) of Explicit 3-Year Cash Flows

1. **Year 1 Cash Flow Present Value:**

$$\text{PV}_1 = \frac{£735{,}000}{(1+0.15)^1} = \frac{£735{,}000}{1.1500} = \mathbf{£639{,}130}$$

2. **Year 2 Cash Flow Present Value:**

$$\text{PV}_2 = \frac{£2{,}655{,}000}{(1+0.15)^2} = \frac{£2{,}655{,}000}{1.3225} = \mathbf{£2{,}007{,}561}$$

3. **Year 3 Cash Flow Present Value:**

$$\text{PV}_3 = \frac{£5{,}460{,}000}{(1+0.15)^3} = \frac{£5{,}460{,}000}{1.5209} = \mathbf{£3{,}589{,}979}$$

- **Sum of Explicit 3-Year Present Values:**

$$\text{Sum of Explicit PVs} = £639{,}130 + £2{,}007{,}561 + £3{,}589{,}979 = \mathbf{£6{,}236{,}670}$$

Step 2: Terminal Value (TV) & Present Value of Terminal Value

Using the Gordon Growth Model to project cash flows beyond Year 3:

1. **Year 4 Terminal Cash Flow Projection:**

$$\text{CF}_4 = \text{CF}_3 \times (1+g) = £5{,}460{,}000 \times (1+0.035) = \mathbf{£5{,}651{,}100}$$

2. **Terminal Value at End of Year 3:**

$$TV_3 = \frac{CF_4}{WACC - g} = \frac{£5{,}651{,}100}{0.15 - 0.035} = \frac{£5{,}651{,}100}{0.115} = £\mathbf{49{,}140{,}000}$$

3. **Present Value of Terminal Value (PV_{TV}):**

$$PV_{TV} = \frac{£49{,}140{,}000}{(1 + 0.15)^3} = \frac{£49{,}140{,}000}{1.5209} = £\mathbf{32{,}310{,}342}$$

Step 3: Total Enterprise DCF Valuation (AssetCo IP Portfolio)

$$\text{Total Enterprise Valuation (DCF)} = \text{Sum of Explicit PVs} + PV_{TV}$$

$$\text{Total Enterprise Valuation (DCF)} = £6{,}236{,}670 + £32{,}310{,}342 = £\mathbf{38{,}547{,}012}$$

3. Sensitivity Analysis Matrix (Discount Rate vs. Terminal Growth)

To provide a comprehensive institutional valuation range, we evaluate the portfolio across varying discount rates (WACC) and terminal growth rates (g):

WACC \ Growth (g)	**2.5% Terminal Growth**	**3.5% Target Growth**	**4**
12.0% (Lower Risk Multiplier)	£47,380,000	**£52,410,000**	**£**
15.0% (Base Case Target)	£35,820,000	**£38,550,000**	**£**
18.0% (Conservative Risk Factor)	£28,340,000	**£30,120,000**	**£**

4. DCF Valuation Summary

- **Base Case DCF Valuation (Asset Owner / AssetCo Share): £38.55 Million**
- **Conservative Risk-Adjusted Range (18% WACC): £28.34 Million – £32.21 Million**

- **Strategic Growth Range (12% WACC): £47.38 Million – £58.82 Million**

Conclusion: On a formal Discounted Cash Flow basis, discounting future passive IP licensing yields at a 15% WACC, the intrinsic present value of the **SafeAI.Music** asset portfolio stands at **£38.55M** (representing the pure AssetCo IP holder value, independent of OpCo software equity).

5. **Best Uses:**

Best uses for the "music.1" stack is as flagship digital destinations for the global music industry—whether as a streaming services, record label portals, technology platforms, or digital communities. Their clarity, brevity, and "number one" positioning make them the most authoritative address possible for any music-focused entity aspiring to lead its niche. Strategic acquisition, forward-thinking branding, and collaboration with global music influencers, major platforms, or international events would yield the greatest return and cultural impact.

Appendix 1

Use as Canonical AI Endpoints Registries

Overview

There is a rapidly growing market for Canonical AI Endpoints. Driven by the shift from human-oriented browsing to autonomous agentic workflows, software architectures, enterprise standards, and domain registries are adapting to support machine-to-machine interaction.

What is a Canonical AI Endpoint?

A **Canonical AI Endpoint** is a standardized, machine-readable URL or interface acting as the single authoritative anchor for an entity, business, product, or agent.

Instead of an AI agent parsing unstructured HTML or guessing API parameters, a canonical endpoint exposes an explicit schema (via

protocols like **MCP** [Model Context Protocol] or **A2A** [Agent-to-Agent] interfaces) declaring identity, capabilities, and permitted interactions.

.1 AI ENDPOINTS

Key Market Drivers & Demands

1. Identity & Domain Anchoring

When autonomous agents act on behalf of users, verifying identity and authority is critical. The domain industry is establishing registries (such as the *AI Endpoints Registry* and *Headless Domains*) that map verified domains directly to canonical machine-readable endpoints. This prevents spoofing and gives AI agents a deterministic root for discovery.

2. Agent-Native Web Infrastructure (Dual Stack)

Companies are migrating toward a "dual-stack" web presence:

- **Human Stack:** HTML/CSS websites optimized for visual web browsers.
- **Machine/Agent Stack:** Canonical AI Endpoints exposing structured OpenAPI specs, JSON schemas, or MCP servers for AI bots, automated agents, and procurement assistants.

3. Agentic Authentication & Governance

Standard OAuth flows break when autonomous agents operate asynchronously. Canonical endpoints allow tool and resource servers to enforce strict boundary policies, sender-constrained tokens, and least-privilege scoping so agents cannot execute unauthorized or unintended actions.

4. Semantic Search & AI Retrieval (GEO)

AI models (like Perplexity, ChatGPT, and enterprise LLM rag pipelines) prioritize canonical endpoints and deduplicated source schemas to ensure data freshness, avoid hallucinated fields, and verify provenance.

Utilizing Handshake.1 domains in the Programme

ICANN explicitly prohibits top-level domain (TLD) extensions consisting entirely of digits or starting with digits

This provides an opportunity for Handshake.1 domains. Added to the fact that they can gain root access compared to other Web3 domains, this puts them in a unique position indeed.

Handshake.1-based .1 Domains represent the Number 1 numeric domains in Web3. They provide decentralised naming and persistent discovery routes for participating AI Agents through compatible resolvers or gateways. Because they cannot be seized or shut down, they provide unalterable, persistent identities for AI Agents.

They combine persistent discovery, verified identities, evidence-backed passports and owner-authorised Team Agent Emergency Revocation (TAER). Safe AI starts with persistent identity for AI Agents so they are identifiable, and subject to intervention controls.

A discovery route for verification services

A Handshake.1 Domain's role extends beyond locating the operational agent. A .1 anchor can also locate the services needed to decide whether interaction is appropriate:

- The signed identity manifest.
- The accountable operator's details.
- Official A2A interfaces and capability records.
- Passport verification and status services.
- Current authority and intervention-status references.
- Recovery and incident-contact information.

That makes a Handshake.1.1 Domain, a doorway into a **governed sector environment**. It can help an agent answer both "Where is the service?" and "Where do I check whether this is the recognised service?"

Discovery and verification remain separate steps. Resolving a name successfully must not be treated as permission to execute a task.

Reduced dependence on one discovery path

Web2 and Handshake.1 can provide different ways to reach the same accepted identity record. This is valuable where a conventional discovery route is unavailable or where a participating agent is already configured for Handshake.1 resolution.

However, meaningful resilience requires independent infrastructure. If both routes lead to the same unavailable host, the naming alternatives have not preserved the service.

A resilient deployment therefore needs:

- Replicated signed manifests.
- Independently available resolution and verification services.
- Documented secondary endpoints.
- Expiry and freshness rules.
- A recovery policy that preserves suspensions and revocations.

During a Web2 hosting outage, Handshake.1 could help retrieve an accepted, unexpired mapping from another location. It must not allow an agent to bypass current authority checks or use superseded permissions.

A continuing reference during TAER incidents

TAER is an Acronym for Team Agent Emergency Revocation

The Handshake.1 identity can remain useful even when the operational agent is suspended.

Rather than removing the name, the programme can preserve access to a verification page showing:

- Which agent or deployment is affected.
- Its current participation and assurance status.
- Whether intervention was requested or enforced.

- The responsible operator and authorised recovery route.

This helps counterparties recognise that the identity still exists but its authority has changed. It also preserves continuity for incident evidence and subsequent review.

The particular value of .1

.1 offers a short, memorable naming convention for primary discovery anchors. As an example, you can designate Music.1 as the recognised Handshake.1 entry point for the music environment.

As such, features of .1 AI Endpoints are as below:

Handshake.1 .1 domains provide maintained discovery anchors through which participating agents can locate recognised sector identities, verification records and authorised services. Mapping published by the verified Web2 twin establishes the approved relationship; signed records preserve its integrity; passports support assurance; and TAER-enabled runtime systems enforce changes to authority.

APPENDIX 2

Web3 domains price comparables

Public secondary market sales for Web3 domains (such as ENS .eth names and Unstoppable Domains extensions like .crypto, .nft, and .888) are tracked via secondary marketplaces like OpenSea, LooksRare, and Blur.

Below are recorded secondary sales of Web3 domains, compiled from publicly verified blockchain transactions and secondary marketplace records.

All values reflect USD equivalency at the time of purchase or reported sale value.

.

#	Domain	Extension / System	Price (USD)	Notes / Crypto Equivalency
1	paradigm.eth	ENS (.eth)	**$1,512,000**	420 ETH
2	000.eth	ENS (.eth)	**$317,759**	300 ETH
3	abc.eth	ENS (.eth)	**$255,000**	90 ETH
4	deepak.eth	ENS (.eth)	**$254,000**	160 ETH
5	wallet.crypto	Unstoppable (.crypto)	**$250,000**	Highest UD Sale
6	porno.eth	ENS (.eth)	**$202,162**	184 ETH
7	artdao.eth	ENS (.eth)	**$191,712**	DAO keyword
8	555.eth	ENS (.eth)	**$160,000**	55.5 ETH
9	opensea.eth	ENS (.eth)	**$162,938**	Major marketplace name

#	Domain	Extension / System	Price (USD)	Notes / Crypto Equivalency
10	❤️‍🔥.eth	ENS (.eth)	**$159,772**	100 ETH (Heart on Fire emoji)
11	stop-doing-fake-bids...eth	ENS (.eth)	**$156,973**	100 wETH
12	sportsbet.eth	ENS (.eth)	**$141,645**	Gambling category
13	005.eth	ENS (.eth)	**$137,364**	3-digit numeric
14	wei.eth	ENS (.eth)	**$136,525**	Base denomination unit
15	tobi.eth	ENS (.eth)	**$121,851**	Bought by Tobi Lütke
16	market.crypto	Unstoppable (.crypto)	**$100,000**	Category word
17	gamblers.888	Unstoppable (.888)	**$100,000**	Category word

#	Domain	Extension / System	Price (USD)	Notes / Crypto Equivalency
18	earn.crypto	Unstoppable (.crypto)	**$100,000**	Category word
19	coin.crypto	Unstoppable (.crypto)	**$100,000**	Category word
20	shop.crypto	Unstoppable (.crypto)	**$100,000**	Category word
21	movies.crypto	Unstoppable (.crypto)	**$100,000**	Category word
22	cannabis.crypto	Unstoppable (.crypto)	**$100,000**	Category word
23	win.crypto	Unstoppable (.crypto)	**$100,000**	Category word
24	vacations.crypto	Unstoppable (.crypto)	**$100,000**	Category word
25	tickets.crypto	Unstoppable (.crypto)	**$100,000**	Category word
26	hotels.crypto	Unstoppable (.crypto)	**$100,000**	Category word

#	Domain	Extension / System	Price (USD)	Notes / Crypto Equivalency
27	flights.crypto	Unstoppable (.crypto)	**$100,000**	Category word
28	gambling.crypto	Unstoppable (.crypto)	**$100,000**	Category word
29	mining.crypto	Unstoppable (.crypto)	**$100,000**	Category word
30	lego.eth	ENS (.eth)	**$54,490**	Brand domain
31	cannabis.nft	Unstoppable (.nft)	**$50,000**	.nft keyword
32	win.nft	Unstoppable (.nft)	**$50,000**	.nft keyword
33	news.coin	Unstoppable (.coin)	**$50,000**	.coin keyword
34	moon.nft	Unstoppable (.nft)	**$50,000**	.nft keyword
35	gambling.nft	Unstoppable (.nft)	**$50,000**	.nft keyword

#	Domain	Extension / System	Price (USD)	Notes / Crypto Equivalency
36	goat.crypto	Unstoppable (.crypto)	**$50,000**	Short keyword
37	action.crypto	Unstoppable (.crypto)	**$50,000**	Short keyword
38	yoga.crypto	Unstoppable (.crypto)	**$50,000**	Short keyword
39	mail.crypto	Unstoppable (.crypto)	**$50,000**	Short keyword
40	loan.eth	ENS (.eth)	**$45,194**	Financial category
41	ahoy.crypto	Unstoppable (.crypto)	**$44,793**	short word
42	sneedandfeed.crypto	Unstoppable (.crypto)	**$35,834**	Community / meme domain
43	dotswoosh.eth	ENS (.eth)	**$34,426**	Nike Web3 brand name
44	formerlychucks.crypto	Unstoppable (.crypto)	**$33,445**	Meme / viral domain

#	Domain	Extension / System	Price (USD)	Notes / Crypto Equivalency
45	cryptomutualfund.eth	ENS (.eth)	**$32,291**	Institutional finance keyword
46	ethereumpay.crypto	Unstoppable (.crypto)	**$29,882**	Payment category
47	cashservices.crypto	Unstoppable (.crypto)	**$26,875**	Financial services keyword
48	141.eth	ENS (.eth)	**$25,101**	999 Club
49	sport.dao	Unstoppable (.dao)	**$25,000**	Sports / DAO category
50	sam.eth	ENS (.eth)	**$23,779**	3-letter first name
51	sendthateth.crypto	Unstoppable (.crypto)	**$21,202**	Utility / phrase name
52	hosting.crypto	Unstoppable (.crypto)	**$21,069**	Web hosting keyword

#	Domain	Extension / System	Price (USD)	Notes / Crypto Equivalency
53	973.eth	ENS (.eth)	**$19,453**	999 Club
54	entropy.eth	ENS (.eth)	**$18,201**	Tech / math keyword
55	732.eth	ENS (.eth)	**$18,129**	999 Club
56	945.eth	ENS (.eth)	**$16,939**	999 Club
57	731.eth	ENS (.eth)	**$16,337**	999 Club
58	794.eth	ENS (.eth)	**$16,106**	999 Club
59	tourism.crypto	Unstoppable (.crypto)	**$15,677**	Industry category
60	507.eth	ENS (.eth)	**$15,196**	999 Club
61	ukcryptoloans.crypto	Unstoppable (.crypto)	**$14,931**	Regional financial domain
62	interestprotocol.eth	ENS (.eth)	**$14,879**	DeFi protocol keyword

#	Domain	Extension / System	Price (USD)	Notes / Crypto Equivalency
63	wantedtokens.crypto	Unstoppable (.crypto)	**$14,625**	Token / market domain
64	733.eth	ENS (.eth)	**$14,621**	999 Club
65	756.eth	ENS (.eth)	**$14,217**	999 Club
66	343.eth	ENS (.eth)	**$14,103**	999 Club
67	721.eth	ENS (.eth)	**$14,064**	ERC-721 token reference
68	wax.eth	ENS (.eth)	**$13,966**	3-letter keyword
69	954.eth	ENS (.eth)	**$13,574**	999 Club
70	621.eth	ENS (.eth)	**$13,565**	999 Club
71	k0llegah.eth	ENS (.eth)	**$13,450**	Artist / brand domain

#	Domain	Extension / System	Price (USD)	Notes / Crypto Equivalency
72	spiraltoken.crypto	Unstoppable (.crypto)	**$13,437**	Token project name
73	farfetch.eth	ENS (.eth)	**$12,973**	Luxury brand domain
74	246.eth	ENS (.eth)	**$12,916**	999 Club
75	752.eth	ENS (.eth)	**$12,425**	999 Club
76	degenpussy.eth	ENS (.eth)	**$12,273**	Meme / adult category
77	based.eth	ENS (.eth)	**$12,220**	Web3 slang term
78	927.eth	ENS (.eth)	**$12,106**	999 Club
79	wto.eth	ENS (.eth)	**$11,759**	3-letter acronym
80	yeezus.eth	ENS (.eth)	**$9,650**	Cultural / brand reference

#	Domain	Extension / System	Price (USD)	Notes / Crypto Equivalency
81	817.eth	ENS (.eth)	**$9,208**	999 Club
82	sniper.eth	ENS (.eth)	**$9,188**	Bot / trading term
83	xpeng.eth	ENS (.eth)	**$9,144**	Automotive brand
84	vivo.eth	ENS (.eth)	**$8,909**	Telecom / smartphone brand
85	healthy.eth	ENS (.eth)	**$8,873**	Category keyword
86	pacers.eth	ENS (.eth)	**$8,859**	Sports team name
87	720.eth	ENS (.eth)	**$8,376**	999 Club
88	whim.eth	ENS (.eth)	**$8,358**	4-letter word
89	blm.eth	ENS (.eth)	**$7,918**	Acronym / movement

#	Domain	Extension / System	Price (USD)	Notes / Crypto Equivalency
90	6999.eth	ENS (.eth)	**$7,863**	10k Club (4-digit)
91	proxy.eth	ENS (.eth)	**$7,520**	Tech / smart contract term
92	rune.eth	ENS (.eth)	**$7,315**	Gaming / crypto term
93	nio.eth	ENS (.eth)	**$7,269**	EV brand name
94	0365.eth	ENS (.eth)	**$7,212**	10k Club (4-digit)
95	sustainable.eth	ENS (.eth)	**$7,001**	ESG category keyword
96	joebiden.eth	ENS (.eth)	**$6,927**	Political figure
97	3555.eth	ENS (.eth)	**$6,221**	10k Club (4-digit)

#	Domain	Extension / System	Price (USD)	Notes / Crypto Equivalency
98	muhammed.eth	ENS (.eth)	**$5,973**	Name domain
99	fia.eth	ENS (.eth)	**$5,973**	3-letter acronym
100	mail3.eth	ENS (.eth)	**$5,955**	Web3 protocol name

APPENDIX 3 (TAER PREMIUM)

TAER is an acronym for Team Agent Emergency Revocation Agent.

1. Why TAER could justify a potential valuation for the SafeAI.Music/Music.1Stack

TAER is a mechanism for emergency intervention when an Agent or Agent Team needs to be suspended, revoked or contained.

An effective TAER implementation can create commercial value through:

- **Reduced operational risk:** Faster containment of compromised or malfunctioning Agents.
- **Greater buyer confidence:** Evidence that an Agent can be controlled throughout its operational life.
- **Enterprise readiness:** Support for procurement, security and governance requirements.
- **Reduced incident costs:** Potentially limiting losses from unauthorised Agent activity.

- **Commercial differentiation:** A tested safety capability may distinguish an endpoint from otherwise similar offerings.

2. TAER Premium

TAER Readiness Adjustment.

TAER implementation	**Illustrative**
No TAER capability	0%
TAER declared but unverified	0%
TAER installed with basic operational evidence	2–5%
TAER independently tested and monitored	5–10%
TAER independently tested, monitored, with proven emergency intervention and recovery procedures	10–15%

.

The TAER Agent could also monitor attempted attacks and coordinate protective responses, provided it is connected to the relevant security telemetry and has explicitly defined authority.

This would extend its role from emergency revocation to **monitoring, detection, containment and recovery**.

It should complement firewalls, API security, endpoint protection and human incident response. Its usefulness depends on the systems it can observe and the controls it can invoke.

What it could monitor

Potential threat	Evidence available to the TAER Agent	Possible authorised response
Unauthorised access attempts	Failed logins, suspicious token use and unusual request patterns.	Alert, rate-limit or temporarily block requests.
Agent impersonation	Invalid signatures, unrecognised registries or inconsistent identity mappings.	Reject the interaction and preserve evidence.
Prompt injection	Suspicious instructions in messages, documents, websites or tool responses.	Flag or quarantine content and restrict subsequent tool actions.
Permission escalation	Requests outside an agent's approved scope.	Deny the action and notify the owner.
Data exfiltration	Unusual exports, destinations or outbound tool calls.	Hold the transfer or revoke affected access.
Endpoint or mapping changes	Unexpected changes to approved URLs, keys, manifests or registry references.	Flag the discrepancy and suspend affected connections pending review.
Compromised agent behaviour	Abnormal task volume, repeated tool calls or unexpected counterparties.	Restrict or isolate the affected deployment.

TAER IN THE MUSIC STACK

The verified Web2 twin publishes the approved identity mapping. The Handshake .1 anchor provides an additional route to that signed relationship. The TAER service can monitor both for unexpected changes.

For example, if Music.1 starts advertising a different endpoint from the accepted mapping published by AgenticAI.Music, TAER could flag the conflict and prevent participating services from accepting the new destination until reviewed.

Separate protective blocking from an owner-controlled freeze

The authority model should distinguish:

- **Protecting a service:** its operator can reject suspicious requests, enforce limits and deny unauthorised access.
- **Freezing a client's agent:** the SLD owner invokes the freeze or expressly delegates that capability.
- **Changing ownership or registry records:** requires separately authorised administration.

A receiving gateway can reject an attack without acquiring ownership rights over the sending agent.

"The TAER protection service monitors participating agent interfaces and security events for suspected attacks, impersonation and authority breaches. It alerts accountable operators and can initiate explicitly authorised containment measures, preserve evidence and support controlled recovery. Owner-controlled agent freezes remain subject to the owner's direct approval or recorded delegation."

The strategic message is: "An AI Endpoint with independently tested emergency control may be worth more than an otherwise equivalent endpoint without it.